1 2 3 4 5 6	DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577 JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463 PERKINS COIE LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131 Telephone: (415) 344-7000 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050 Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com Email: JGitterman@perkinscoie.com Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com	
7	Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.	
8		
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
11		
12	CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD	CASE NO. C O5-03649 JW
13	STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFFS' SECOND MOTION TO
14	Plaintiffs,	SEAL PURSUANT TO CIV. L.R. 79-5(d) PORTIONS OF AMENDED
15	V.	DOCUMENTS (DOCUMENT NOS. 137- 139)
16	GOOGLE, INC.,	Date: June 11, 2007
17 18	Defendant.	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: Courtroom 8 Judge: Honorable James Ware
19		Judge. Honorable James wate
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 05-03649 41063-0023/LEGAL13278101.1	[41063-0023-000000/13278101_1.DOC]

ORDER 1 The Court finds, having considered Plaintiffs CLRB Hanson Industries, LLC, d/b/a 2 Industrial Printing, and Howard Stern's Second Motion To Seal Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) 3 4 Portions Of Amended Documents Due To Confidentiality Designations By Defendant Google Inc. ("Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents") (Document Nos. 137-139), the 5 Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang In Response To Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended 6 Documents, and the papers submitted therewith and arguments raised therein, and good cause 7 appearing: 8 9 1. That the redactions (i.e., sealing as confidential documents) requested in Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents, in connection with Plaintiffs' Amended 10 Supplemental Memorandum In Support Of Plaintiffs' Motion For Partial Summary Judgment 11 ("Plaintiffs' Amended Supplemental Memorandum") and Amended Supplemental Declaration of 12 13 Michele F. Raphael ("Amended Supplemental Raphael Declaration"), are consistent with 14 Google's confidentiality designations; and 2. That Google has demonstrated that Plaintiffs' Amended Supplemental 15 16 Memorandum and the Amended Supplemental Raphael Declaration are subject to seal in 17 accordance with its confidential designations because of overriding confidentiality interests that overcome the right of public access to the record in these documents. 18 19 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED: 20 1 That Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents is GRANTED. 21 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 24 DATED: 25 The Honorable James Ware United States District Judge 26 27 28 -2-